



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
1510 GILBERT ST
NORFOLK, VA 23511-2699

IN REPLY REFER TO:

11460
15C/rdc
9 May 2000

From: Commander, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Criteria Office
To: Distribution

Subj: INTERIM TECHNICAL GUIDANCE (ITG) – MOORING SERVICE TYPE III
(HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS)

Ref: (a) COMNAVSEASYSKOM msg R 130351Z Jul 95 ZYB
(b) "Mooring Design," Military Handbook 1026/4A (1 July 1999)
(c) "Maintenance of Waterfront Facilities," Military Handbook 1104 (draft - 10 Dec 99)
(d) "Inspection of Mooring Hardware," Military Handbook 1104/3 (draft - Sept 99)
(e) "Piers and Wharves," Military Handbook 1025/1 (draft - Jan 00)

Encl: (1) "U.S. Navy Heavy Weather Mooring Safety Requirements," NFESC Report
TR-6012-OCN Rev B of May 2000

1. **Purpose:** The purpose of this guidance is to advise facility engineers, planners, and maintenance personnel of minimum facility planning and design criteria necessary to ensure safe mooring of naval vessels during Mooring Service Type III (Heavy Weather). Use this document to validate existing sites for heavy weather use and to design new or modified facilities and moorings for heavy weather. Existing facilities and moorings should not be used for heavy weather if they do not meet all criteria noted herein unless provisions are made according to reference (a). Retain this guidance until it is incorporated into the criteria noted in paragraph 5. Since no Building Code or non-government standard exists for Mooring Service Type III design, this document, including references (b) – (e) and enclosure (1), provides the relevant safety requirements and criteria for facility aspects of ship mooring.

2. **Background:** It is common practice for U.S. Navy ships to exit port prior to arrival of hurricanes and other forecasted extreme weather conditions. This practice is normally executed when destructive winds (sustained wind speed above 50 knots) are expected in the local area. However, ships in availability (i.e. under repair) may not be able to go to sea. Therefore, these ships must be moored safely during heavy weather or be moved to nearby safe facilities before storm arrival. Reference (a) provides operational recommendations to mitigate many effects of Heavy Weather. The effectiveness of these mitigation measures are difficult to quantify. Therefore, facilities are often relied upon to resist the loads. In each homeporting region, only a portion of all berthing facilities must be capable of heavy weather mooring, since only a portion of the ships cannot go to sea. Ships carry enough lines to moor in Mooring Service Type II as defined below, but not for Type III. Also, facilities are generally designed for Type II and not Type III.

**Subj: INTERIM TECHNICAL GUIDANCE (ITG) – MOORING SERVICE TYPE III
(HEAVY WEATHER MORRINGS)**

3. **Discussion:** This criteria is a compilation of lessons learned from Heavy Weather mooring studies for COMNAVSURFLANT by COMNAVFACENGCOM, NFESC, and COMNAVSEASYSYSCOM. It includes state of the art technology in vital facility areas such as mooring and risk assessment. Congressional support for all new construction is dependent on specific planning and design criteria applied consistently throughout the Navy - hence, the need to formalize this criteria. Upgrades to existing facilities likewise require documentation of new “code” requirements.

a. Any given mooring configuration will provide one of four service levels:

- Type I - Mild Weather Mooring used for short term visits such as ports of call, fueling and ammunition loading operations
- Type II - Storm Mooring used for long term visits such as homeports
- Type III - Heavy Weather Mooring used for ships unable to go to sea before hurricane or typhoon such as repair berths and dry docks
- Type IV - Permanent Moorings used for museums and inactive ships

b. See reference (b) for more details regarding Mooring Service Types. This criteria addresses specifically Type III moorings by providing design guidance to manage risk at an acceptable level.

4. **Criteria:**

See Enclosure (1)

5. **Action:**

a. **Planning** – Engineering Field Divisions, Components, and Activities should assist Claimants, Regional Commanders, and Shipyards to determine the number, location, and critical ship class requirement for moorings used locally during Mooring Service Type III. Mooring Service Type should be identified during the planning phase of waterfront structures. Recommendations are provided in enclosure (1).

b. **Analysis and Design** – Engineers at Engineering Field Divisions, Components, and Public Works Centers should analyze moorings according to climatological criteria stated in enclosure (1). Commercial enterprises providing mooring for US Navy ships should likewise conform to the criteria contained herein. Lines should provide a factor of safety against breaking of 2.5. Design pier and wharf fittings for a working load equal to the break strength of the largest lines

Subj: INTERIM TECHNICAL GUIDANCE (ITG) – MOORING SERVICE TYPE III
(HEAVY WEATHER MORRINGS)

expected to use the fitting. Moorings used for Type III service are subjected to significant dynamic wind loads and should be analyzed accordingly. NFESC has capability of providing this type of analysis on a reimbursable basis. Aramid and nylon lines also respond differently to dynamic loads and should be properly modeled in the analysis. Engineers should also verify the capacity of ship fittings for Type III Moorings. Dynamic analysis indicated that in order to moor a CVN in heavy weather a ship alt is required as well as facility upgrades at NNSY and NAVSTA Mayport. Ship alts, if required, should be coordinated with the NAVSEA POC listed below.

c. Maintenance – Maintenance personnel should inspect moorings to ensure acceptable performance during heavy weather. References (b) and (c) provide inspection guidance.

d. Operations – Activities should provide additional mooring lines to supplement ship mooring lines for use during Mooring Service Type III.

e. Criteria – NAVFAC Criteria Office will coordinate revisions of the following criteria to incorporate the interim technical guidance stated herein:

1. References (b), (c), (d) and (e)

5. Coordination: This ITG has been coordinated with COMNAVSEASYS COM and internally within NAVFACENGCOM, COMNAVSURFLANT, CINCLANTFLT N37, N46, and CINCPACFLT N37, N46.

6. Points of Contact:

a. For clarification or additional information related to this subject, please contact the NAVFAC Criteria Office. The NAVFAC Criteria Office Point of Contact is Mr. David Curfman, P.E., Special Assistant for Waterfront and Harbors DSN 262-4203/ 757-322-4203, fax 757-322-4416, curfmanrd@efdlant.navy.mil. All heavy weather studies for COMNAVSURFLANT including references (b), (c), (d) and (e) and enclosure (1) are available at <http://criteria.navy.mil/criteria>.

b. For technical assistance in heavy weather mooring dynamic analysis, please contact the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) Mooring Center of Expertise. The Point of Contact is Mr. William (Bill) N. Seelig. He can be reached at DSN 288-2396/202-433-2396, fax 202-433-5089, or SeeligWN@nfesc.navy.mil

c. For operational assistance related to the subject, please contact COMNAVSURFLANT, N43. The COMNAVSURFLANT Point of Contact is LCDR Rich Blank. He can be reached at 757-836-3305 or rblank@cnsi.spear.navy.mil

Subj: INTERIM TECHNICAL GUIDANCE (ITG) – MOORING SERVICE TYPE III
(HEAVY WEATHER MORRINGS)

d. For interface with ship configuration related to the subject, please contact COMNAVSEASYSKOM, 05P. The COMNAVSEASYSKOM Point of Contact is Mr. Larry Grafton. He can be reached at 703-602-1845 x 181 or GraftonCM@navsea.navy.mil.

R. Dand Curfman

R.D. CURFMAN

By direction

Distribution:

LANTNAVFACENGCOM 04/16/20
PACNAVFACENGCOM 04/16/20
SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM 04/16/20
SOUTHWESTNAVFACENGCOM 07
COMNAVSEASYSKOM 03 / 07/08
NFESC EC DET 55/61
NFESC
NORTHNAVFACENGCOM
ENGFLDACT WEST
ENGFLDACT MIDWEST
ENGFLDACT MED
PWC Norfolk
PWC Jacksonville
PWC Pensacola
PWC San Diego
PWC Guam
PWC Pearl Harbor
COMNAVREG NE
COMNAVREG SE
COMNAVREG MIDLANT
NAVSTA Roosevelt Roads
NAVSUBASE New London
WPNSTA Earle
NAVSHIPYD Portsmouth, Code 2310 (K. Koempel)
NAVSHIPYD Norfolk, Code 9910 (M. Guglielmo)

Copy to:

NAVFAC CHE
CINCLANTFLT N37/N43/N46
CINCPACFLT N37/N43/N46
COMNAVAILANT N4
COMNAVIRPAC N4

**Subj: INTERIM TECHNICAL GUIDANCE (ITG) – MOORING SERVICE TYPE III
(HEAVY WEATHER MORRINGS)**

**COMNAVSURFLANT N4
COMNAVSURFPAC N4
COMSUBLANT N4
COMSUBPAC N4
SUPSHIP, Bath, ME
SUPSHIP, Groton, CT
SUPSHIP, Jacksonville, FL
SUPSHIP, New Orleans, LA
SUPSHIP, Newport News, VA
SUPSHIP, Pascagoula, MS
SUPSHIP, Portsmouth, VA
SUPSHIP, Puget Sound, WA
MSC
MARAD, Chief, Reserve Fleet Division
USCG FDCCLANT
USCG Marine Safety Center**

SUPERSEDED



NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER
Port Hueneme, California 93043-4370

**Technical Report
TR-6012-OCN Rev B**

**U.S. NAVY HEAVY WEATHER MOORING
SAFETY REQUIREMENTS**

by

William N. Seelig, P.E.

R. David Curfman, P.E.

May 2000 REV B

Prepared for:
Commander, Naval Facilities Engineering Command

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

It is standard procedure for U.S. Navy ships to go to sea prior to forecasted heavy weather, such as an approaching hurricane or major storm. However, some ships, such as ships under repair, ships under construction, harbor craft and other vessels may not be able to go to sea. These ships must be securely moored during heavy weather to piers, wharves or Fleet Moorings to ensure safety of the ships and surrounding structures and to prevent loss of life.

In 1999, NAVFAC and NFESC (Seelig, NFESC Report SSR-6150-OCN, 1999) examined the Hampton Roads, Mayport and Ingleside heavy weather support infrastructure for Commander, Naval Surface Forces Atlantic. We concluded that many facilities and moorings are inadequate to moor ships during heavy weather. In addition, a review of accident reports indicates that the Navy has incurred many tens of millions of dollars of accidents and ship movement costs, due to inadequate heavy weather moorings.

Three key deficiencies identified in these earlier studies are:

- 1) The lack of regional heavy weather mooring facility requirements,
- 2) The lack of consistent Navy-wide heavy weather environmental criteria, and
- 3) The inconsistency of analytical methodologies.

Therefore, this report:

- Proposes U.S. Navy 'heavy weather' (*Mooring Service Type III*) requirements by region. (Inactive, MSC and MARAD ships are not included in this report).
- Recommends Navy-wide 'heavy weather' environmental design criteria applied to key Navy regions.
- Provides technical guidance for the analysis, design, construction, and use of heavy weather mooring facilities.

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
	CONTENTS	2
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	PURPOSE	3
3.0	DEFINITIONS	4
4.0	REGIONAL HEAVY WEATHER MOORING NEEDS	10
5.0	REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CRITERIA	16
6.0	UNIFORM DESIGN METHODOLOGY	21
7.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	22
8.0	POINTS OF CONTACT	22
9.0	REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	23

U.S. NAVY HEAVY WEATHER MOORING SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

by

William N. Seelig, P.E.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

It is standard procedure for U.S. Navy ships to go to sea prior to forecasted heavy weather, such as an approaching hurricane or major storm. However, some ships, such as ships under repair, ships under construction, harbor craft and other vessels may not be able to go to sea. These ships must be securely moored in heavy weather to piers, wharves or Fleet Moorings to ensure safety of the ships and surrounding structures and to prevent loss of life.

2.0 PURPOSE

In 1999, NAVFAC and NFESC (Seelig, NFESC Report SSR-6150-OCN, 1999) examined the Hampton Roads, Mayport and Ingleside heavy weather support infrastructure for Commander, Naval Surface Forces Atlantic. We concluded that many facilities and moorings are inadequate to moor ships during heavy weather. In addition, a review of accident reports indicates that the Navy has incurred many tens of millions of dollars of accidents and ship movement costs, due to inadequate heavy weather moorings. Therefore, Commander, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (COMNAVFACENGCOM) tasked the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) to develop heavy weather mooring criteria.

Three key deficiencies identified in these earlier studies are:

- 1) The lack of regional heavy weather mooring facility requirements,
- 2) The lack of consistent Navy-wide heavy weather environmental criteria, and
- 3) The inconsistency of analytical methodologies.

Therefore, this report:

- Proposes U.S. Navy 'heavy weather' (*Mooring Service Type III*) requirements by region. (Inactive, MSC and MARAD ships are not included in this report).
- Recommends Navy-wide 'heavy weather' environmental design criteria applied to key Navy regions.
- Provides technical guidance for the analysis, design, construction, and use of heavy weather mooring facilities.

3.0 DEFINITIONS

To ensure common understanding of terminology, a glossary is provided below.

1. Destructive Weather – thunderstorms, tornadoes, tropical cyclones, extra-tropical storms, and severe windstorms (OPNAVINST 3140.24E). Tables 1 and 2 provide some definitions.
2. Destructive Weather Warning - a warning to indicate possible approaching destructive weather. Table 2 defines standard warnings (MIL-HDBK-1026/4).
3. Gale –winds of 34 to 47 knots (OPNAVINST 3140.24E).
4. Facility – any non-powered structure, fixed or floating, used to moor ships to restrict movement during weather. Facilities include piers, wharves, dolphins, mooring buoys and other specialized moorings.
5. Heavy Weather –
 - a) *Mooring Service Type III* where wind speeds greater than 50 knots are expected in the local area up to maximum speeds as defined herein.

- b) winds in excess of 50 knots (COMNAVSEASYS COM msg),
- c) wind of 50 knots and current of 3 knots acting concurrently and perpendicular to ship's centerline tending to push ship away from pier (DDS 582-1),
- d) gales, storms, hurricanes, and destructive weather (COMNAVSEASYS COM Standard Item 009-69).

6. Hurricane – a tropical cyclonic storm with sustained winds speeds greater than 64 knots.

7. Mooring - the system of lines, bitts, bollards, facility and fenders used to secure a ship. Moorings include items such as fenders, camels, lines, fittings, anchors, chain, structures, etc. The purpose of a mooring is to safely secure a ship.

8. Mooring Service Type - design criteria for moorings are based on risk of extreme events at each location in such a way that the risk of an accident is extremely low, and yet the costs are realistic. The longer a ship remains at a site and the more difficult it is to relocate, the more stringent the design criteria. Table 3 summarizes the four types of mooring service provided at DoD facilities, as defined in MIL-HDBK-1026/4 'Mooring Design'. Ships experience all four types during their service life with Types I & II being the most frequent.

9. Normal Weather – winds less than 64 knots.

10. Safe Haven – Also called a hurricane haven. Defined as a berth or anchorage with sufficient strength and water depth to survive a storm with winds greater than 64 knots but less than the maximum design hurricane.

Table 1. STORM DEFINITIONS

<u>Warning</u>	<u>Distance Away</u>	<u>Wind Speed</u>	<u>Storm Surge</u>
EXTRA-TROPICAL			
Small Craft	N/A	18-33 knots	0
Gale	N/A	34-47 knots	0
Storm	N/A	48-63 knots	0
TROPICAL			
Tropical Depression	N/A	< 33 knots	0
Tropical Storm	24 hours	34-63 knots	0
Hurricane	N/A	> 64 knots	> 4 ft

(after MIL-HDBK-1026/4 'Mooring Design')

Table 2. DoD STORM WARNINGS

<u>Warning</u>	<u>Definition</u>
WIND WARNINGS	
Small Craft Warning	Harbor and inland waters warning for winds 33 knots or less of concern to small craft.
Gale Warning	Warning for harbor, inland waters and ocean areas for winds 34 to 47 knots.
Storm Warning	Warning for harbor, inland waters and ocean areas for winds 48 knots or greater.
TROPICAL CYCLONE WARNINGS	
Tropical Depression	Warning for land, harbor, inland waters and ocean areas for winds 33 knots or less.
Tropical Storm	Warning for land, harbor, inland waters and ocean areas for winds 34 to 63 knots.
Hurricane/Typhoon	Warning for land, harbor, inland waters and ocean areas for winds 64 knots or greater.
THUNDERSTORM/TORNADO WARNINGS	
Thunderstorm Warning	Thunderstorms are forecast to impact the warning area.
Severe Thunderstorm Warning	Severe thunderstorms with wind gusts to 50 knots or greater and/or hail of 3/4 inch diameter or greater are forecast to impact the area.
Tornado Warning	Tornados have been sighted or detected by RADAR in or adjacent to the warning area, or have a strong potential to develop in the warning area.

(after OPNAVINST 3140.24E of 21 Dec 1993)

Table 2. DoD STORM WARNINGS (continued)

<u>Warning</u>	<u>Definition</u>
SPECIAL WEATHER ADVISORY/WARNINGS	Warnings may be issued for land, harbor, inland waters, or ocean areas as appropriate.
Winter Storm/Snow Warning	Warning may be issued for snow, mixed or freezing precipitation, wind chill, or anything that could impact operations. The parameters under which a winter storm warning will be issued are determined by local area commanders.
Storm Surge Warning	Warning issued for coastal areas, harbor, and inland waters when abnormally high tides are forecast to impact operations. The specific height above normal tide will be determined by local area commanders.
Other	May also encompass any additional weather phenomenon which may impact operations in the designated area.

(after OPNAVINST 3140.24E of 21 Dec 1993)

Table 3. MOORING SERVICE TYPES

MOORING SERVICE TYPE	DESCRIPTION
<p>TYPE I Mild Weather Mooring</p>	<p>This category covers moorings for mild weather (sustained winds of less than 34 knots; below gale force) and currents less than 1 knot. Mooring situations include ammunition facilities, fueling facilities, deperming facilities, and ports of call. Use of these moorings is normally selected in concert with forecasted weather.</p>
<p>TYPE II Storm Mooring</p>	<p>This category covers moorings that are used in storm conditions of less than 64 knots. Moorings include standard and nested mooring. Vessel will leave prior to an approaching hurricane, typhoon, surge or other extreme event.</p>
<p>TYPE III Heavy Weather Mooring</p>	<p>This category covers moorings of vessels that cannot or may not get underway prior to an approaching hurricane or typhoon. Moorings include fitting-out, repair, drydocking, and overhaul berthing facilities.</p>
<p>TYPE IV Permanent Mooring</p>	<p>This category covers moorings that are used to permanently moor a vessel that will not leave in case of a hurricane, typhoon, or surge. Moorings include inactive ships, floating drydocks, ship museums, training berthing facilities.</p>

(after MIL-HDBK-1026/4)

4.0 REGIONAL HEAVY WEATHER MOORING NEEDS

Each region where ships may be unable to sortie to sea has unique heavy weather mooring facility requirements. Some examples are discussed below:

HAMPTON ROADS, VA:

COMNAVBASENORVAINST states that no site in the Hampton Roads region is appropriate as a safe haven during heavy weather. However, at any given time approximately 10% of the homeported ships will be unable to sortie to sea prior to a forecasted hurricane. These vessels are often under repair and thus require heavy weather moorings.

INGLESIDE, TX:

In Ingleside, Texas, none of the homeported mine sweepers are fast enough to outrun a hurricane. Therefore, 100% of these vessels require heavy weather moorings. Other vessels such as service craft and inactive ships may also be unable to sortie to sea.

After consulting representatives from each region, we developed proposed regional heavy weather mooring facility requirements. This proposal is provided in Table 4 for the Atlantic Fleet and Table 5 for the Pacific Fleet. Each Regional Commander should validate this proposal for their region.

**Table 4. RECOMMENDED HEAVY WEATHER MOORING REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE ATLANTIC FLEET**

I. NORTHEAST REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. BATH, ME	0		
B. PORTMOUTH NSY	3	SSN 688	
C. SUBBASE NEW LONDON	1	SSN 21	
" "	3	SSN 688	
D. EARLE, NJ	1	AOE	

II. MID-ATLANTIC REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. NORFOLK NSY, NAB LITTLE CREEK, NAVSTA NORFOLK	2	CVN	
" "	2	LHA/LHD	
" "	5	LPD	
B. NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING	1	CVN	

****NUMBER OF HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS REQUIRED***

**Table 4. RECOMMENDED HEAVY WEATHER MOORING REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE ATLANTIC FLEET (continued)**

III. SOUTHEAST REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. SUBBASE KINGS BAY, GA	1	SSBN 726	
" "	1	DDG 51	(1)
" "	1	FFG 7	(1)
B. NAVSTA MAYPORT, FL	2	CG 47	(2)
C. NAVSTA PASCAGOULA, MS	1	CG 47	
" "	1	FFG 7	
D. GULFPORT, MS	0		
E. NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX	1	MSC 12	(3)
" "	24	MCM 1 & MHC 51	(3)
F. NAVSTA ROOSEVELT ROADS	VARIOUS	HARBOR CRAFT	(3)

(1) Needed as backup to Mayport, FL; see NFESC Report SSR-6176-OCN

(2) Designs in NFESC Report SSR-6078-OCN for DD-963, CG-47, DDG-51 and FFG-7

(3) NFESC provided designs and Fleet Moorings

***NUMBER OF HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS REQUIRED**

Table 5. RECOMMENDED HEAVY WEATHER MOORING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PACIFIC FLEET

I. NORTHWEST REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. SUBBASE BANGOR, WA	5	SSBN 726	
" "	1	SSN 688	
B. NAVSTA EVERETT, WA	0		
C. PUGET SOUND, NSY	1	AOE	
" "	1	CVN	
" "	30	SUBS IN DISPOSAL	(1)

(1) NFESC designed/installed mooring, NFESC Report 55-95(03)

II. SOUTHWEST REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. NAS NORTH ISLAND	0		
B. SAN DIEGO, CA	0		

****NUMBER OF HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS REQUIRED***

**Table 5. RECOMMENDED HEAVY WEATHER MOORING REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE PACIFIC FLEET (continued)**

III. PEARL HARBOR, HI REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. PEARL HARBOR, HI	3	SSN	
" "	2	CG 47 OR DDG 51	
" "	4	MISC.	TRANSIENTS & DECOM**

IV. COMNAVMARIANAS, GUAM

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. APRA HARBOR	1	AS 40	USE FLEET MOORING?
" "	VARIOUS	HARBOR CRAFT	USE FLEET MOORINGS
" "	4	MISC.	TRANSIENTS & DECOM**

***NUMBER OF HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS REQUIRED**

**Exact ship classes need to be determined.

Table 5. RECOMMENDED HEAVY WEATHER MOORING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PACIFIC FLEET (continued)

V. USN FACILITIES JAPAN

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. SASEBO	0		SAFE HAVEN
B. YOKOSUKA	VARIOUS	HARBOR CRAFT	USE FLEET MOORINGS

VI. DIEGO GARCIA REGION

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>NUMBER*</i>	<i>SHIP CLASS</i>	<i>NOTES</i>
A. DIEGO GARCIA	0		

**NUMBER OF HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS REQUIRED*

5.0 REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CRITERIA

Engineers must design Mooring facilities to moor ships in a safe manner that reduces risk to an acceptably low level. To obtain Navy-wide consensus, mooring engineers from NAVFAC, NAVSEA and SURFLANT gathered in August 1998 and developed general heavy weather mooring criteria. These attendees agreed that any heavy weather mooring must address the following environmental effects summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CRITERIA FOR NAVY HEAVY WEATHER MOORINGS (*MOORING SERVICE TYPE III*)***

<i>Environmental Effect</i>	<i>Probability of Occurrence</i>
High Winds	50 year recurrence (P= 0.02)*
Swift Current	50 year recurrence (P= 0.02)**
Extreme Water Levels	Extreme recorded levels (ELW / EHW)
Large Waves	Hindcasted from design high winds

*Use exposure D (American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 7-95, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures; flat, unobstructed area exposed to wind flowing over open water for a distance of at least 1 mile (1.61 km) for determining design wind speeds.

**To define the design water depth, use $T/d = 0.9$ for flat keeled ships; for ships with non-flat hulls, that have sonar domes or other projections, take the ship draft, T, as the mean depth of the keel and determine the water depth, d, by adding 0.61 meter (2 feet) to the maximum navigation draft of the ship.

***See MIL-HDBK-1026/4

Tables 7, 8 and 9 provide recommended heavy weather design criteria for selected regions. This document provides recommendations from a variety of sources with the Navy recommendation noted. Attendees at the August 1998 workshop unanimously agreed on the approach.

Table 7. *MOORING SERVICE TYPE III DESIGN WIND SPEEDS*

Site	Wind (ASCE)	Wind (Changery)	Wind (DM 26.6 '86)	Wind (DM 26.6 '68)	Recent Design Wind Speed	Recommended Heavy Weather Design Wind
Bath, ME	95 mph				73 mph obs	95 mph
Portsmouth NSY	96 mph 84 knots	91 mph 79 knots	85 mph 74 knots	88 mph		96 mph 84 knots
SUBBASE New London	101 mph 88 knots	87 mph 76 knots	115 mph 100 knots	119 mph	70 mph – SSN 115 mph– ARDM(Pier 17)	100 mph 87 knots
NAVSTA Earle, NJ	104 mph 90 knots		100 mph 87 knots			104 mph 90 knots
Norfolk NSY	92 mph 80 knots		110 mph 96 knots	113 mph		95 mph 82 knots
NAVSTA Norfolk	100 mph 87 knots	98 mph 85 knots	110 mph 96 knots	113 mph	75 mph	100 mph 87 knots
NAB Little Creek	103 mph 90 knots		105 mph 91 knots	106 mph	75 mph	105 mph 91 knots
Newport News Ship Building	99 mph 86 knots		105 mph 91 knots	106 mph		100 mph 87 knots
SUBBASE Kings Bay	101 mph 88 knots	87 mph 76 knots	150 mph 130 knots	N/A		110 mph 96 knots
NAVSTA Mayport	110 mph 96 knots	84 mph 73 knots	150 mph 130 knots	157 mph		110 mph 96 knots
NAVSTA Pascagoula, MS	120 mph 104 knots					120 mph 104 knots
NAVSTA Ingleside, TX	126 mph 109 knots				126 mph 109 knots	126 mph 109 knots
NAVSTA Everett	97 mph 84 knots	65 mph 56 knots	85 mph 74 knots	94 mph	50 mph (CVN pier)	85 mph 74 knots
SUBBASE Bangor	75 mph 64 knots	65 mph 56 knots	90 mph 78 knots	94 mph		75 mph 64 knots
Puget Sound NSY	75 mph 64 knots	65 mph 56 knots	90 mph 78 knots	94 mph	80 mph (Pier D)	75 mph 64 knots
NAS North Island	80 mph 70 knots	60 mph 52 knots	60 mph 52 knots	63 mph	60 mph (CVN wharf)	60 mph 52 knots
Pearl Harbor NSY	96 mph 84 knots		60 mph 52 knots	63 mph	60 mph (SSN Y-2 wharf)	100 mph 87 knots
Yokosuka JAPAN					86 mph	
GUAM				120 mph	141 mph AFDM	141 mph 122 knots
La Maddelana, ITALY					74 mph Med-moor	102 mph 89 knots

NOTES FOR TABLE 7.

- 1) Wind speed (ASCE) is derived from “Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures,” ASCE 7-95 for 50-year, 3-second gust, exposure C, converted to 30-second duration, exposure D (.84 x 1.086 for hurricane prone areas, .87 x 1.086 for others). NNSY, PSNS, SUBBASE Kings Bay, New London, and Bangor use exposure C. To obtain 100 year recurrence wind, multiply by 1.105 for east coast and 1.107 for west coast. To obtain 500 year recurrence wind, multiply by 1.33 for east coast and 1.23 for west coast. Wind speeds in Everett are in a special wind region addressed in the Commentary.
- 2) Wind speed (Changery/Simiu) is derived from “Historical Extreme Winds for the United States – Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Coastlines,” by M.J. Changery, NCC, NOAA, May 1982 and from “Extreme Wind Speeds at 129 Stations in the contiguous United States” by E. Simiu, NBS, March 1979. Both reports record fastest mile wind speeds which are converted to 30-second duration, exposure D (1.086 x 60/speed and Fig C6-1 of ASCE 7-95)
- 3) Wind (DM 26.6 '86) is based on current version of Navy Design Manual 26.6, “Mooring Design Physical and Empirical Data” (1986) for 30 second wind, highest average possible.
- 4) Wind (DM 26.6 '68) is based on previous version of Navy Design Manual 26.6, “Mooring Design Physical and Empirical Data” (1968) for 5- minute duration for highest average possible, converted to 30-second duration (x 1.25).

**Table 8. MOORING SERVICE TYPE III DESIGN WATER LEVELS
(in feet)**

Site	Extreme High	Avg Yearly High	MHHW	MLLW	Avg. Yearly Low	Extreme Low	Recommended Heavy Weather High/Low
Bath, ME							8.7 / 0.0 FEMA
Portsmouth NSY	12.78	11.30	8.90	0.00	-2.50	-3.00	12.8 / -3.0
SUBBASE New London	10.76	6.28	3.08	0.00	-2.30	-3.82	10.8 / -3.8
Norfolk NSY	8.85	6.15	3.15	0.00	-2.0	-2.40	8.9 / -2.4
NAVSTA Norfolk	8.39	5.60	2.80	0.00	-2.1	-3.21	8.4 / -3.2
NAB Little Creek	7.10		2.93	0.00		-2.50	7.1 / -2.5
Newport News Ship Building	8.39		3.15	0.00		-3.21	8.4 / -3.2
SUBBASE Kings Bay	9.11		7.04	0.00		-2.22	9.1 / -2.2
NAVSTA Mayport	7.50	6.40	4.92	0.00	-2.0	-3.20	7.5 / -3.2
NAVSTA Pascagoula, MS (1980-1986)	6.13		1.78	0.00		-3.57	? / -3.6
NAVSTA Ingleside, TX	16.15		1.44	-0.25			16.15 / -0.25
NAVSTA Everett	14.35	13.31	11.11	0.00	-	-3.60	14.4 / -3.6
SUBBASE Bangor	14.67	13.33	11.13	0.00	-	-3.79	14.7 / -3.8
Puget Sound NSY	15.40	13.94	11.74	0.00	-3.90	-5.00	15.4 / -5.0
NAS North Island	8.35	7.50	5.73	0.00	-2.0	-2.88	8.4 / -2.9
Pearl Harbor NSY	3.51	-	1.95	0.00	-	-1.47	3.5 / -1.5
Yokosuka JAPAN			7.15	0.00			7.15 / 0.0
GUAM			2.4	0.00			4.7 / 0.0

- 1) Tidal benchmarks are from NOAA <http://www.opsd.nos.noaa.gov/bench.html>
- 2) Avg yearly high water and low water is from "Shore Protection Manual," USACE, 1984.
- 3) Extreme high values are from observations. For Norfolk, water levels above MLLW (assuming storm surge occurs at MHHW) are: 7.9 ft = 20 year storm; 8.9 ft = 50 year storm; and 9.8 ft = 100 year storm.

Table 9. MOORING SERVICE TYPE III DESIGN CURRENT VELOCITIES*

Site	Average Speed at maximum flooding	Average Speed at maximum ebb	Recommended Heavy Weather Design Current Speed
Bath, ME			2.5 knots
Portsmouth NSY, N.H.(southside of island)	3.0 knots	3.8 knots	3.8 knots
SUBBASE New London, CT	0.1 knot	0.2 knots	0.2 knots
Norfolk NSY, VA	0.4 knots	0.3 knots	0.4 knots
NAVSTA Norfolk, VA	0.6 knots	0.8 knots	0.8 knots
NAB Little Creek, VA	0.3 knots	0.3 knots	0.3 knots
Newport News Ship Building, VA	0.9 knots	1.3 knots	1.3 knots
SUBBASE Kings Bay, GA	0.3 knots	0.3 knots	0.3 knots
NAVSTA Mayport, FL	2.2 knots	3.1 knots	3.1 knots
NAVSTA Pascagoula, MS	Weak	Weak	Weak
NAVSTA Ingleside, TX	2.0 knots	2.0 knots	2.0 knots
NAVSTA Everett, WA	0.6 knots	0.0 knots	0.6 knots
SUBBASE Bangor, WA	0.9 knots	1.1 knots	1.1 knots
Puget Sound NSY, WA	0.5 knots	0.5 knots	0.5 knots
NAS North Island, CA	0.6 knots	0.6 knots	0.6 knots
Pearl Harbor NSY, HI	Weak	Weak	Weak
Yokosuka JAPAN	1.0 knot	1.0 knot	1.0 knot
Sasebo, JAPAN	Weak	Weak	Weak
GUAM			2.0 knots
Diego Garcia	Weak	Weak	Weak
La Maddelana, ITALY	Weak	Weak	Weak
Gaeta, ITALY	Weak	Weak	Weak

* Note: Current speeds and directions are highly dependent on location conditions. The designer should review these criteria for each specific berth to determine if the criteria apply or must be modified. When practical, it is recommended that measurements be made at the design site.

6.0 UNIFORM DESIGN METHODOLOGY

Engineers should perform preliminary designs and facility reviews using quasi-static methods in MIL-HDBK-1026/4 'Mooring Design' and a minimum factor of safety of 2.5 (NAVSEA/NAVFAC meeting of November 1999) on all mooring lines.

Since heavy weather results in extreme dynamic and non-linear movements, prepare final designs with full six-degree-of-freedom dynamic modeling. NFESC ECDET maintains this capability in-house and is available to assist activities on a reimbursable basis.

A 'mooring' includes many parts and all parts are critical. Therefore, designs should ensure acceptable performance of:

- Facility mooring fittings (strength, condition and locations).
- Overall facility (based on strength and condition).
- Fendering.
- Ship hull (based on allowable hull pressures).
- Mooring lines (strengths, condition, angles, characteristics and use).
- Ship mooring fitting (strength and locations).
- Ship under-keel (clearance).
- Other factors (cost, access, permits, fire protection, utilities, etc.).

See MIL-HDBK-1026/4 'Mooring Design' for further information.

7.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Typically, engineers design waterfront mooring facilities for *Mooring Type II*. However, in any given region a portion of these facilities must support *Mooring Service Type III* to account for ships in repair or unable to sortie in case of a major approaching storm. This report has outlined recommendations for number and type of regional Heavy Weather Moorings, regional environmental criteria, and design methods.

8.0 POINTS OF CONTACT

Points of contact concerning this report are provided in Table 10.

Table 10. POINTS OF CONTACT

<i>NAME</i>	<i>COMMAND</i>	<i>PHONE / EMAIL</i>
David Curfman	NAVFACENGCOM Criteria Office	757-322-4203 fax -4416 DSN 262-4203 <i>CurfmanRD@efdlant.navfac.navy.mil</i>
Larry Grafton	NAVSEASYS COM Mooring Systems	703-602-1845 xt 181 <i>GraftonCM@navsea.navy.mil</i>
Bill Seelig	NFESC 551 Moorings	202-433-2396 fax -5089 DSN 288-2396 <i>SeeligWN@nfesc.navy.mil</i>

9.0 REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

"Warnings and Conditions of Readiness Concerning Hazardous or Destructive Weather Phenomena," OPNAVINST 3140.24E dated 21 December 1993 (*Defines hazardous and destructive weather*).

"Heavy Weather Mooring Guidance," message R 130351Z JUL 95 from COMNAVSEASYSKOM.

"Destructive Weather Plan," COMNAVBASENORVA/ SOPA (ADMIN) HAMPINST 3141.1V dated 23 Apr 1997 (*States that "none of the harbors in the Hampton Roads area are safe havens during sustained hurricane force winds."*)

"Destructive Weather Plan," NAVSHIPYDNOR/ SOPA (ADMIN) PORTINST 3140.1A dated 9 August 1994.

"Guidelines and Recommendations for the Safe Mooring of Large Ships at Piers and Sea Islands," TNL 81-4, Ref 1, Oil Companies International Marine Forum, dated 17 Feb 1981. (*Provides for 60 knot wind and ¾ knot beam current or 60 knot wind and 2 knot 10° current*)

"Hurricane Preparedness," 4700 Ser-N43/3450, ltr from COMNAVSURFLANT dated 12 Dec 1996.

"Heavy Weather Plan," NAVSEA Standard Item 009-69, 09 Dec 1994 (*Does not set upper environmental limit for heavy weather plan*)

"Heavy Weather Plan," NAVSEA Standard Item 009-69, 13 Sep 1996 (preliminary).

"Requirements for Entry to and Departure from the Contractor's Facilities," NAVSEA Standard Item 042-04, 27 Aug 1996 (*Requires a minimum water depth of navigable draft + 2 ft at Mean Lower Low Water which is inadequate for heavy weather. The ship will sit on the bottom at most berths. This requirement should be changed to navigable draft + 1 ft at Extreme Low Water*)

American Society of Civil Engineers, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE 7-95, approved June 6, 1996.

DDS 582-1, "Calculations for Mooring Systems," Naval Sea Systems Command, 16 Jan 1987.

MIL-HDBK-1026/4 "Mooring Design", July 1999.

Seelig, W., Curfman, D., Baker, C. LCDR, Waller, R., and Viana, A., "SURFLANT Heavy Weather Mooring Program, Phase I Completion Report", SSR-6150-OCN, Sep. 1999.

Seelig, W., "Design Criteria for Ship's Anchor Systems", NFESC TR-6008-OCN, Oct. 1999.

Seelig, W., "Design Criteria for Ships' Mooring Systems", NFESC TR-6010-OCN, Sep. 1999.

TR 82-03, "Hurricane Havens Handbook for the North Atlantic Ocean," June 1982, NAVENVPREDRSCHFAC.