# C651

# CRD-C 651-95

## Standard Gyratory Testing Machine Method for Design of Hot-Mix Bituminous Pavement Mixtures\*

Use ASTM D 3387 with modifications listed below:

1. Selection of compactive effort.

Marshall Compaction	Gyratory Setting
50 blows per end	100 psi, 1-degree, 30 revolutions
75 blows per end	200 psi, 1-degree, 30 revolutions

The gyratory testing machine can also be used in the design of airfield pavements for more severe types of traffic, i.e., where tire pressure will exceed 230 psi or the traffic load will be by heavy aircraft and channelized in certain areas of the pavement. The following gyratory testing machine setting is required:

	Gyratory	Setting	
240	psi, l-degree,	60 revolutions	

2. Determination of optimum bitumen content by gyratory method.

(a) The principal criteria for selecting the optimum bitumen content when using the gyratory method of design are the peak of the unit weight aggregate only curve and the gyratory recording. Generally, optimum bitumen content occurs at the peak of the unit weight aggregate only curve at the highest bitumen content at which little or no spreading of the gyrograph trace occurs. The bitumen content determined by these two criteria will be nearly identical. In no case, however, should a bitumen content be selected that would be high enough to cause more than faint spreading of the gyrograph trace.

(b) The optimum binder content determined with the gyratory machine will in most cases produce a bituminous mixture with satisfactory characteristics without resorting to further test procedures. However, the mixture should be tested for stability, flow, density, and voids as described in Method 100.

(c) Selection of optimum bitumen content by the gyratory method may result in the paving mixture having lower percent voids total mix than would be permissible with the Marshall procedure. For example, the voids total mixture of a paving mixture designed for traffic by aircraft with tire pressures of 200 psi or higher might be only 2.5 percent, as compared with a specific range form 3 to 5 percent in the Marshall criteria. By the gyratory procedure, the lower percent voids total mixture is acceptable.

\*Formerly MIL-STD-620A, Method 102, 13 January 1966.

2

(Issued 1 Dec. 1995)

CRD-C 651-95

Designation: D 3387 - 83

# Standard Test Method for Compaction and Shear Properties of Bituminous Mixtures by Means of the U.S. Corps of Engineers Gyratory Testing Machine (GTM)<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 3387; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (e) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This test method employs two separate modes of operation of the Gyratory Testing Machine (GTM), namely: (a) GTM fixed roller mode: and (b) GTM oil-tilled roller mode. The fixed roller mode of operation is employed in testing for compaction and strain indices only, while the oil-tilled roller mode of operation is employed in testing for strength properties as well as compaction and strain indices. This test method is for use with mixtures containing asphalt cement, asphalt cut-back, asphalt emulsion, or tar and (101.6-mm) diameter specimen and 1.5 in. (38.1 mm) maximum size in the 6-in. (152.4-mm) diameter specimen.

1.2 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns. if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appro-priate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

C 136 Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates

C670 Practice for Preparing Precision and Bias Statements for Test Methods for Construction Materials

### 3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 gyrograph-a recording of shear strain experienced

by the bituminous mixture during the compaction test (see Fig. 2). 3.1.2 gyratory angle-a measure of the magnitude of the gyratory strain. Three pertinent angles are defined as follows: 3.1.2.1 Initial gyratory angle or shear strain (machine Winol & con Fig. 2).

setting)  $\theta_0$  (see Fig. 2), 3.1.2.2 Minimum gyratory angle or shear strain (mini-

mum gyrograph band width)  $\theta_i$  (see Fig. 2), and 3.1.2.3 Maximum gyratory angle or shear strain (maximum gyrograph band width $\theta_{max}$  (see Fig. 2).

3.1.3 gyratory stability index (GSI)-- the ratio of the

max-imum gyratory angle to the minimum gyratory angle

(see Fig. 2). 3.1.4 gyratory compactibility index (GCI)--the ratio of 3.1.4 gyratory compactibility index (GCI)-the ratio of the GTM to the the unit mass (total mix) at 30 revolutions of the GTM to the unit mass (total mix) at 60 revolutions of the GTM.

3.13 gyratory shear strength (S<sub>g</sub>--the shear resistance of the specimen which is, among other things, a function of the imposed vertical pressure and degree of strain (see Annex Al)

3.1.6 gyratory shear factor (GSF)--the ratio of the measured gyratory shear strength to the approximate theoretical maximum induced shear stress, that is. a factor of safety type index with regard to failure in simple shear for the defined loading conditions.

## 4. Significance and Use

4.1 Either mode of operation (fixed roller or oil-filled roller) is intended to b-e used for guidance in selection of the optimum bitumen content and establishing unit mass re-quirements; additionally the oil-filled roller mode of operation is intended for use in arriving at a shear strength factor (referred to as gyratory strength factor. GSF) with regard to shear under the load and strain conditions selected for the test. The procedure described here is for one selected degree of shear strain (in this case an initial gyratory angle of 1°) and some selected vertical pressure (in this case the anticipated tire contact pressure). Attention is called to the fact that the payratory angle selected should relate to the anticipated payrement deflection. The I angle selected here should have wide application but should the payrement engineer select a different shear angle (such as a degree of shear strain), it should be so indicated in the report. It is essential that the vertical pressure correspond to the maximum anticipated tire contact pressure, since the theoretical stress for compaction and maximum induced shear used in determining the compaction requirements and the gyratory strength factor (GSF) is based on the concept of employing realistic loads for the test

4.2 The gyratory strength factor (GSF) must be inter-preted with due recognition of the use of the somewhat arbitrarily selected degree of strain and the assumption of plane maximum shear (the unit contact pressure divided by  $\pi$ ) for a strip load on a homogeneous elastically isotropic mass

4.3 The use of this method for guidance in the selection of the optimum bitumen content is limited to mixtures that are susceptible to the development of excess pore pressure when the voids become overfilled with bitumen. (This restriction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-4 on Road and Paving Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D04.26 on Fundamental/Mechanistic Tests.

Current edition approved Sept. 30, 1983. Published November 1983. Originally Subjished as D 3387 - 74 T. Last previous edition D 3387 - 78. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.02.




FIG. 1 Gyratory Testing Machine

does not apply to the gyratory strength factor, GSF.) A gratory stability index (GSI), in excess of unity, indicates a progressive increase in plasticity during densification. An increase in this index indicates an excessive bitumen content for the compaction pressure employed and foretells instability of the bituminous mixture for the loading employed. A reduction in oil-filled roller pressure during the compaction process likewise indicates loss of stability because of overfilled voids: this phenomenon also serves as an indicator of maximum allowable bitumen content. as does the widening of the gyrograph which gives a stability index in excess of unity.

4.4 The gratory compactibility index (GCI) is an indicator of the compactibility of the mix. The closer this index approaches unity. the easier the mix is to compact.

#### 5. Apparatus

5.1 Gyratory Testing Machine (GTM) and Appurtenances-- The primary equipment for this test is the Gyratory Testing Machine (GTM) and appurtenances. Figure 1 is an assembly drawing of the machine (and appurtenant equip ment) indicating essential features, including the wall friction apparatus and a schematic of the gyrating mechanism. The fixed upper roller and the oil-filled upper roller are inter changeable; the roller being selected to lit the mode of operation of the GTM.

<sup>4</sup> 5.2 Ovens-- Ventilated ovens shall be provided for heating aggregates, bituminous material, and specimen molds and for curing cut-back mixes and emulsion mixes. It is recommended that the heating units be thermostatically controlled so as to maintain the required temperature within 5°F (2.8°C).

5.3 *Balances*, one having a capacity of 5 kg or more sensitive to 1.0 g; and one having a capacity of 2 kg. sensitive to 0.1 g.

to 0.1 g. 5.4 Thermometers- Armored glass or dial-type thermometers with metal sterns are recommended. A range from 50 to 400°F (9.9 to 20.4-C) with sensitivity of 5°F (2.8°C) is required.

5.5 Spacer Blocks, two metal spacer blocks for use in zeroing the specimen height measuring equipment. These shall all be of 2-in. (50.8-mm) diameter with one each of the following lengths: 2.50  $\pm$  0.005 in. (63.50  $\pm$  0.013 mm) and 3.75  $\pm$  0.005 in. (95.25  $\pm$  0.013 mm).

C 651

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A novel mechanization of the original Texas gyratory principle. This machine is manufactured by Engineering Developments Co., Inc. of Vicksburg, MI 39180, under letters Patert. Nos. 2972249 and 3478572.

## 4

5.6 Miscellaneous Apparatus-- Trowels, spatulas, scoops. gloves. rubber gloves. metal pans. 4-in. (101.6-mm) diameter paper disks, and 6-in. (152.4-mm) diameter paper disks.

### 6. Test Specimens

6.1 Selection of Bitumen Content for Specimens- Conduct laboratory tests for one specimen each at a minimum of three bitumen contents, one above, one below, and one at the estimated optimum content (Note). The incremental change of bitumen content should generally be 0.5 %. For extremely critical mixes, lower the incremental change of bitumen content to 0.3 % and for highly absorptive aggregates, increase the incremental change of bitumen content to 1.0 %. Tests on additional bitumen contents should be conducted as necessary when check tests are needed. 6.2 Preparation of Aggregates- In accordance with Test Mathod C. 136, obtain a ciawa analysis on the fine and coarse

6.2 Preparation of Aggregates-. In accordance with Test Method C 136. obtain a sieve analysis on the fine and coarse aggregate (aggregate shall be separated by means of a No. 4 (4.75-mm) sieve). Separate the aggregate into the various size fractions necessary for accurately recombining into test mixtures conforming to specified grading requirements. 6.3 Preparation of Mixtures-. Combine the moisture-free

6.3 Preparation of Mixtures-- Combine the moisture-free aggregates into batches sufficiently large to make specimens approximately 2.50 in. (63.5 mm) long in the 4-in. (101.6-mm) diameter mold and 3.75 in. (95.3 mm) long in the 6-in. (152.4.mm) diameter mold. For normal aggregates, this will require approximately 1200 g for the 4-in. (101.6-mm) diameter specimens and approximately 4050 g for the 6-in. (152.4-mm) diameter specimens. Heat the aggregate to the proper mixing temperature; then weigh the required amount of bitumen at the proper temperature into the aggregate mixture. Mixing of the aggregate and bitumen shall be as thorough and rapid as possible; mechanical mixing is recommended.

Note-A first approximation of the optimum amount of bitumen for the aggregate may be determined by any method commonly employed by the laboratory. A method that has been found suitable in some laboratories is the centerfuge kerosene equivalent method.<sup>4</sup>

6.3.1 For mixes employing penetration/viscosity grades of asphalt the temperature of the aggregate and asphalt at the time of mixing should correspond to the temperatures anticipated to be used at the plant during manufacture of the Paving mix. These temperatures will generally be somewhere in the range of 250 to 325°F (121 to 149°C). Once the mixing temperature is selected, it should be so controlled that the viscosity of the bitumen will not vary more than  $\pm$ 50 cSt during the mixing process.

during the mixing process. 6.3.2 For tar mixtures, the temperature of the aggregate and tar at the time of mixing should correspond to the temperatures to be used at the plant during manufacture of the paving mix. This temperature will generally not exceed 225°F (107°C). Once the mixing temperature is specified. If should be so controlled that the viscosity of the tar will nor vary more than ± Engler specific viscosity during the mixing process.

6.3.3 For mixtures employing liquid asphalts (cut backs or emulsions). the asphalt need not be heated but the aggregate

should be dried to constant weight at 221 to 230°F (105 to 110°C). The liquid asphalt should be combined with the aggregate at room temperature. Following mixing. cure the loose mixture in a ventilated oven maintained at 221 to 230°F (105 to 110°C) for at least 12 b prior to compaction at

230°F (105 to 110°C) for at least 12 h prior to compaction at this temperature. The mix may be stirred occasionally during curing to accelerate loss of volatiles. 6.4 Size of Specimens- The 4-in. (101.6-mm) diameter specimens shall be approximately 2.50 in. (63.5 mm) long. The 6-in. (152.4-mm) diameter specimens shall be approximately 3.75 in. (95.3 mm) long.

### 7. Calibration (See Annex A2)

#### 8. Procedure

8.1 General- The GTM-fixed roller is employed when the compaction test only is required. The GTM oil-tilled roller is employed when both the compaction test and the shear test are required. The oil-tilled roller procedure is accomplished in sequential steps including the compaction test shear test, and wall friction test as outlined in this section. (The procedure for determining the machine correction for S<sub>c</sub> is presented in Annex A2.) When using the fixed roller. inapplicable portions of the following instructions are ignored.

ignored. 8.2 Compaction or Compaction and Shear Test-Figures 2 and 3 illustrate data sheets which are suitable for recording and calculating compaction or compaction and shear test results and for displaying the gyrograph (shear strain) re-cording. The gyratory strain angles  $\theta_{o}$ ,  $\theta_{i}$ , and  $\theta_{max}$  are indicated on the gyrograph displayed in Fig. 2. For this test, set the initial gratory angle,  $\theta_{o}$ , at 1°. Roller positions 2 and 4 (Fig. 2) are used in setting the initial gyratory angle,  $\theta_{o}$ . A trial batch of mix is used in making the initial gyratory angle adjustment. Make certain that the specimen molds are thoroughly clean and free of defects. Excessive wear or grooving in the molds in the area of contact with the upper and lower plates will have an adverse effect upon the compaction as well as the gyrograph (shear strain) recording. Instructions for the compaction temperatures for the labora-tory specimens are presented in 6.3.1 through 6.3.3. Set the GTM heater at 140°F (60°C) at least 15 min before starting the compaction test. Preheat the mold and base plate at 140°F (60°C). Place paper disks in the bottom of the mold and on top of the loose mix to prevent the bitumen from and on top of the loose mix to prevent the bittinen not adhering to the end plates. Place the entire batch in the mold avoiding hand troweling or tamping as it is desired that the compaction process be completely mechanically controlled in order to attain the highest degree of precision and reproducibility. Use the mold-carrying tray to load the mold containing the mixture into the mediae housing for the ned containing the mixture into the machine having first placed the wall friction yoke in position when employing the oil-tilled roller mode of operation. Raise the ram and use a vertical pressure just sufficient to retain the specimen while the front of the mold chuck is tightened securely in position. When the mold chuck is securely tightened, increase the vertical pressure to the full compaction test pressure. Now bring the gyrograph recorder pin into contact and actuate the roller carriage and continue until 29 revolutions have been applied. At the completion of 29 revolutions, stop the carriage and record the specimen height and roller pressure readings (when required) at three positions 1, 3, and 4 (29 to

<sup>\*</sup>California Highways and Public Works, Vol 20, No. 7, July 1942, pp. 14-17




FIG. 2 M Compaction and Shear Test

C 651

5

6

C 651

## CRD-C 651-95

Date \_\_\_\_\_

compaction 120 psi, 1°, 60 Rev.

sample Identification Dense Graded Crushed Stone (3/4-in. max)

 $I_{max} = Vertical Pressure/\pi = 120/3.14 = 38.2 psi$  Machine Correction to  $S_G = -10 psi$ 

 $\theta_{o} = 10/10 = 1.0^{\circ} = 1^{\circ} 0';$  Tan  $\theta_{o} = 0.0175$ 

Bitumen	Vertical Pressure	Total Vertical		Specimen H	leight, h, in.		Rolle	r Pressure Ga	ige Reading,	o, psi
Content, %	Gage Reading, psi	Pressure, psi	1	3	4	Avg	1	3	4	Avg
4.5	202	120	2.648	2.640	2.642	2.64	21	18	21	20
5.0	202	120	2.635	2.634	2.633	2.63	19	.18	19	19
5.5	202	120	2.606	2.604	2.606	2.61	13	14	14	14

		Wall Fric-	Wall Friction	Total Verti-			00.0	00.06 h +-	Shear	GSF	
<sub>θmax</sub> , deg	$\theta_{max}/\theta_{o}$	tion Gage Reading, psi	3.82 F or 2.55 FA	cal Load, V, Ib	b h-tan ∉₀	V·D	90.0 p or 50.0 p <sup>4</sup>	28.26 h or 12.65 h <sup>A</sup>	Uncor- rected	Corrected	$S_G/\tau_{max}$
1.42	1.42	60	230	1507	0.046	69	1800	33	71	61	1.6
1.50	1.50	100	434	1507	0.046	69	1710	33	61	51	1.3
1.68	1.68	120	536	1507	0.046	69	1260	23	40	30	0.8

A Select term to match applicable formula.

TM Model 4C

#### 4-in diameter specimen

 $S_{G} = \frac{50 \rho - 2.55 F + V \cdot b}{12.56 h} \left(\frac{\theta_{max}}{\theta_{0}}\right)$ 

GTM Model 6B-4C

4-in. diameter specimen

$$S_{G} = \frac{90 \ p - 2.55 \ F + V \cdot b}{12.56 \ h} \left( \frac{\theta_{\max}}{\theta_{o}} \right)$$
  
6-in. diameter specimen

$$S_{G} = \frac{90 p - 3.82 F + V \cdot b}{28.26 h} \left(\frac{\theta_{max}}{\theta_{o}}\right)$$

## FIG. 3 GTM Shear Test

30 revolutions), as indicated in Fig. 2, thus completing 30 revolutions. Continue to apply additional revolutions until a total of 59 is reached. Again record height and roller pressure readings (when required) at three positions 1, 3, and 4 (59 to 60 revolutions) as indicated in Fig. 2, thus completing 60 revolutions. (Specimen height and roller-pressure reading may be taken at more frequent intervals of revolutions when more detailed delineation of these parameters is desired.) 8.3 *Wall Friction Test.* (applicable when employing oil-filled roller mode of operation)-Immediately following the compaction and shear test, lower the vertical ram slightly so as to relieve the pressure on the bottom roller.

8.3 Wall Friction Test. (applicable when employing oilfilled roller mode of operation)-Immediately following the compaction and shear test, lower the vertical ram slightly so as to relieve the pressure on the bottom roller. Lower the bottom roller a sufficient number of turns to ensure that it will be out of contact with the mold chuck. (Keep account of the exact number of turns so that the roller can be again reset to exactly the same position.) Reapply the compaction pressure to the ram and cycle the roller carriage several times in order to level the specimen. With the compaction pressure still acting on the specimen, loosen the mold chuck bolts and remove the front section of the chuck so that the specimen mold is no longer restrained by the chuck. Install the two wall friction apparatus jacks beneath the wall friction yoke as illustrated in the assembly drawing. Fig. 1. With the vertical load acting on the specimen, the force required to overcome wall friction and move the mold with respect to the specimen shall be determined by observing the pressure gage of the jack while actuating the Jack. The pressure reading will be found to increase with each thrust of the jack until there is sufficient force to move the mold with respect to the specimen. The pressure reading will then stabilize to about the same value after each thrust of the jack. The reading of the wall friction gage shall be recorded in the space provided as illustrated in Fig. 3. Immediately after completion of the wall friction test, the test specimen should be removed from the GTM and the lower roller brought back to the 1° setting so that the machine is ready for the next test specimen.

### 9. Calculations

9.1 Calculations for Compaction- Calculate the following compaction properties for each specimen. as illustrated in Fig. 2: (a) unit mass, total mix; (b) unit mass, aggregate only: and (c) gyratory compactability index (GCI). 9.2 Calculations for Shear- Calculate the following gyra-

9.2 Câlculations for Shear-Calculate the following gyratory shear properties (when applicable) as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3, (a) gyratory stability index (GSI); (b) gyratory shear (S\_); and (c) gyratory shear factor (GSF).

#### 10. Graphical Presentation

10.1 For convenience of analysis, the parameters calculated in Section 9 may be plotted against bitumen content.




FIG. 4 Compaction and Shear Properties vs. Bitumen Content

The graphs may be to any convenient arithmetic scales as illustrated in Fig. 4.

## 11. Report

11.1 The report shall include the following parameters for each specimen tested using either the fixed roller or the oil-filled roller mode of operation: 11.1.1 Mixing temperature. 11.1.2 Viscosity of bitumen at mixing temperature (give

range) 11.1.3 Compacting temperature. 11.1.4 Viscosity of bitumen at compacting temperature (ive range). 11.1.5 Initial gyratory angle. 11.1.6 Vertical pressure. 11.1.7 Number of revolutions.

11.1.7 Number of revolutions. 11.1.8 Unit mass total mix. 11.1.9 Unit mass aggregate only. 11.1.10 Gyratory stability index (GSI). and 11.1.11 Gyratory compactibility index (GCI). 11.2 In addition to the parameters in 11.1, the report shall include the following parameters for each specimen tested using the oilfilled roller mode of operation: 11.2.1 Gyratory shear strength (S.). and

11.2.2 Gyratory strength factor (GSF).

## 12. Precision and Bias

12. Precision and Bias
12.1 Statistical data available at this time are limited to a series of within-laboratory tests by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station. Tests were conducted on one high quality hot mix bituminous concrete consisting of a dense graded (% in. max) crushed limestone aggregate with 5.0 % (85 to 100 penetration) asphalt cement. A total of 50 compaction and shear tests were conducted by a single-operator on identically prepared samples over a 2-week period. Five samples were tested per day using the same five 4-in. diameter molds throughout the test series. All 50 samples were mixed prior to the start of testing and reheated to compaction temperature when needed.
12.2 The statistical data obtained from this investigation are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The test results in Table 1 are based upon the 50 individual test measurements, while each result used in preparing Table 2 consisted of the average of 4 measurements, using a total of 48 tests.
12.3 It is to be noted that the improvement in precision when averaging four measurements is minimal for measurements of unit mass. GCI, and GSI but quite significant for measurements of S, and GSF.

C 651

8

#### TABLE 1 Within-Laboratory Statistical Analysis Single Test Measurements (50 Tests) Dense Graded Hot Mix Bituminous Concrete

Measured Property	Mean	Standard Deviation	Difference Two-Sigma Limit	Difference Two-Sigma Limit, %
unit mass 30 rev	148.4	0.53	1.5	1.0 %
Init mass. 60 rev	150.7	0.46	1.3	0.9 %
Gyratory compactibility index (GCI)	0.985	0.001	0.003	0.3 %
Gyratory stability index	1.04	D.D1	0.03	2.7 %
Gyratory shear (Sg)	109	7.56	21	20 %
ovratory shear factor	2.39	0.14	0.4	17 %

#### TABLE 3 Within-Laboratory Statistical Analysis Single Test Measurements (50 Tests) Dense Graded Hot Mix Bituminous Concrete

Measured Property	Standard Deviation <sup>A</sup>	Difference Two-Sigma Limit <sup>A</sup>
Unit mass, 30 rev	0.53	1.5
Unit mass, 60 rev	0.46	1.3
Gyratory compactibility index (GCI)	0.001	0.003
Gyratory stability index (GSI)	0.01	0.03
Gyratory shear (Sa)	7.56	21
Gyratory shear factor (GSF)	0.14	0.4

\* These numbers represent, respectively, the (1S) and (D2S) limits as described in Practice C 670.

#### TABLE 4 Within-Laboratory Statistical Analysis Average of Four Test Measurements (48 Tests) Dense Graded Hot Mix Bituminous Concrete

TABLE 2 Within-Laboratory Statistical Analysis Average of Four Test Measurements (48 Tests) Dense Graded Hot Mix Bituminous

Concrete									
Measured Property	Mean	Standard Deviation	Difference Two-Sigma Limit	Difference Two-Sigm Limit, %					
Unit mass, 30 rev	148.4	0.40	1.13	0.8 %					
Unit mass, 60 rev	150.7	0.21	0.59	0.4 %					
Gyratory compactibility index (GC1)	0.985	0.0008	0.0024	0.2 %					
Gyratory stability index (GSI)	1.04	0.0036	0.0101	1.0 %					
Gyratory shear (Sg)	109	4.40	12	11 %					
Gyratory shear factor (GSF)	2.4	0.05	0.14	6%					

12.4 Use of these tabulations in judging the precision to test results must be limited to within-laboratory test on dense

Difference Standard Measured Property Two-Sigma Limit<sup>4</sup> Deviation 0.40 1.13 Unit mass, 30 rev Unit mass, 60 rev 0.21 0.59 0.0024 Gyratory compactibility index (GCI) 0.0008 0.0036 0.0101 Gyratory stability index (GSI) 12 Gyratory shear (S<sub>p</sub>) Gyratory shear factor (GSF) 4.40 0.05 0 14

A These numbers represent, respectively, the (1S) and (D2S) limits as described in Practice C 670.

other types of pavement mixes and between-laboratory results must await further investigations by Subcommittee D04.20 of ASTM Committee D-4.

# ANNEXES

# Al. DEVELOPMENT OF THE FORMULA FOR GYRATORY SHEAR, S

Ī.

A

A1.1 Referring to the schematic in Fig. A1.1 and taking moments about 0, the equation for gratory shear, S<sub>c</sub>, is developed as follows:

$$2 \cdot P \cdot L = S_G \cdot A \cdot h + 2 \cdot F \cdot a - N \cdot b$$
$$S_G = \frac{2(P \cdot L - F \cdot a) + N \cdot b}{A \cdot h}$$

A1.2 The equation in A1.1 is for the  $\theta_{o}$  position; since the shear at the  $\theta_{max}$  position is desired, it is approximated by assuming a linear stress-strain relationship and multiplying the previously calculated value by the ratio  $\eta_{max}/\theta_0$  so that the equation is then expressed as follows:

$$S_G = \frac{2(P \cdot L - F \cdot a) + N \cdot b}{A \cdot h} \left(\frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{\theta_0}\right)$$

P =

- load on upper roller.
   distance from center of path of upper roller to
- and the one of part of part upper of the part of the operation of part of the Ν

  - = end area of specimen,
- periphery). = arm of vertical force couple =  $h \cdot tan \theta_0$ , and = force caused by wall friction.
- B F




FIG. A1.1 Development of Formula for Gyratory Shear

## A2. GYRATORY MACHINE CORRECTION

A2.1 In the conduct of shear tests with the gyratory testing machine, it has been found necessary to make machine corrections for the gyratory shear value  $S_{\rm c}$ . This correction simply amounts to shifting the Mohr's type diagram for test results on a cohesionless material sufficiently to cause the envelope to pass through the origin of the Mohr's diagram. The cohesionless material used for this test is standard dry Ottawa sand, all passing a No. 20 (0.84-mm) sieve and retained on a No. 40 (0.42-mm) sieve. A correction is needed for each combination of compaction pressure and gyratory angle used in the GTM compaction and shear test. The test procedure for finding the GTM machine correction is

illustrated in Figs, A2.1 through A2.3. The dry Ottawa sand is first compacted under the same pressure, gyratory angle, and number of revolutions as scheduled for the compaction and shear test on a given bituminous mixture. The shear test on the dry sand is then conducted for at least three different magnitudes of vertical pressure; starting at some lower value, including an intermediate value, and finally using the same value that was used for compaction. The roller carriage is cycled once subsequent to each incremental adjustment in vertical pressure.

C 651

C 651 (Issued 1 Dec. 1995) 10 CRD-C 651-95 Date .... Operator sample Identification Dry Ottawa Sand (<20 >40) Ram Pressure Gage Reading 202 Vertical Pressure on Specimen 120 psi Compaction Temp. Room Acoregate Temp. Bitumen Temp. Bitumen Content 0.0 \* (Use 0.303 for 4-in, diameter specimen.) (Use 0.1347 for 6-in, diameter specimen.) Ory Batch Mass 900.0 g Bitumen Mass Unit Mass =  $\frac{\text{mass. g}}{\text{height. in.}} \times 0.303^{\circ} \text{ lb/ht}^3$ Intermediate Shear Strain.  $\theta_i = 12.2/10.0$ Initial Shear Strain,  $\theta_{\rm p} = 10/10$ Maximum Shear Strain, 8max = 12.2/10.0 = 1.75 × 1.22° = 2.1% Stability Index =  $\theta_{max}/\theta_i = 12.2/12.2 \approx 1.00$ Compactibility Index =  $\frac{30 \text{ Rev. } \gamma}{60 \text{ Rev. } \gamma}$  = -Attach Gyrograph Below THUN 1 ille  $\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{\mathsf{TM}} = \text{Unit Mass Total Mix} \\ \gamma_{\mathsf{AO}} = \text{Unit Mass Aggregate Only} \\ \gamma_{\mathsf{AO}} = \gamma_{\mathsf{TM}} \times \ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}} \ensuremath{\mathsf{Aggregate}} \end{array}$ Roller Position Roller Pressure, psi TTT Specimen Height, in. Unit Mass. Ib/ft<sup>3</sup> hΠ Height Gage Reading Temperature. Roller Position ۴F Щ. Aggre-Avg 4 Total Mix gate з Rev only 29 to 30 22 95.4 95.4 24 20 22 room 2.840 2.900 2.857 2.830 25 -++++ 98.6 59 to 60 20 22 22 room 98.6 2.762 2.766 2.768 2.767

FIG. A2.1 GTM Compaction Test on Dry Ottawa Sand

Date\_\_\_\_\_

GTM SHEAR TEST

Compaction 120 psi; 1º: 60 rev.

Sample Identification Dry Ottawa Sand (<20, >40)

 $\tau_{max}$  = Vertical Pressure/ $\pi = 120/3.14 \approx 38.2 \text{ psi}$  Machine Correction to S<sub>G</sub> = -10 psi

 $\theta_{o} = \frac{10/10}{10} = \frac{1.0^{\circ}}{1.0^{\circ}} = \frac{1^{\circ}}{10}0';$  Tan  $\theta_{o} = \frac{0.0175}{100}$ 

Bitumen	Vertical Pressure Gage	Total Vertical Pressure, psi	Specimen Height, h, in.				Roller Pressure Gage Reading, p, psi			
Content, %	Reading, psi		1	3	4	Avg	1	3	4	Avg
0.0	90	50	2.776	2.768	2.771	2.77	12	9	11	11
0.0	150	88	2.770	2,765	2.769	2.77	18	14	17	16
0.0	202	120	2.766	2.761	2.765	2.77	22	19	23	21

			Wall Friction	Wall Friction			a 0.06	28.26 h	Shear	GSF	
θ <sub>max</sub> . deg	8 <sub>max</sub> /8 <sub>0</sub>	Wall Friction Gage Reading. psi		Load V, Ib	b h∙tan ∂ <sub>o</sub>	V·b		or 12.56 h*	Uncor- rected	Corrected	S <sub>G</sub> / <sub>7max</sub>
1,25	1,25	55	204	628	0.048	30	990	35	29		
1.25	1.25	70	281	1105	0.048	53	1440	35	43		
1.25	1.25	88	372	1507	0.048	72	1890	35	57		

A Select term to match applicable formula.

TM Model 4C

4-in. diameter specimen

 $S_{o} = \frac{50 p - 2.55 F + V \cdot b}{12.56 h} \left(\frac{\theta_{max}}{\theta_{o}}\right)$ 

GTM Model 6B-4C

4-in. diameter specimen

$$G = \frac{90 p - 2.55 F + V \cdot b}{12.56 h} \left(\frac{\theta_{max}}{\theta_o}\right)$$
-in. diameter specimen  
90 p - 3.82 F + V \theta (\theta\_m)

$$S_{g} = \frac{90 p - 3.82 F + V \cdot b}{28.26 h} \left(\frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{\theta_{o}}\right)$$



6




FIG. A2.3 Gyratory Machine Correction



11

## (Issued 1 Dec. 1995)

CRD-C 651-95

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of initingement of such rights, are entitly their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel they your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 1916 Race St., Philadelphia, PA 19103.

C 651

12